arXiv:1411.2287v1 [math.DG] 9 Nov 2014

EXISTENCE AND UNICITY OF CO-MOMENTS IN MULTISYMPLECTIC GEOMETRY

LEONID RYVKIN* AND TILMANN WURZBACHER

ABSTRACT. Given a multisymplectic manifold (M,ω) and a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ acting on it by infinitesimal symmetries, Fregier-Rogers-Zambon define a homotopy (co-)moment as an L_∞-algebra-homomorphism from $\mathfrak{g}$ to the observable algebra $L(M,\omega)$ associated to (M,ω), in analogy with and generalizing the notion of a co-moment map in symplectic geometry. We give a cohomological characterization of existence and unicity for homotopy co-moment maps and show its utility in multisymplectic geometry by applying it to special cases as exact multisymplectic manifolds and simple Lie groups and by deriving from it existence results concerning partial co-moment maps, as *e.g.* covariant multimomentum maps and multi-moment maps.

0. INTRODUCTION

A manifold M together with a non-degenerate, closed $(n+1)$-form ω is called n-plectic or multisymplectic. Interest in the geometry of these manifolds stems mainly form the quest for a multiphase space or Hamiltonian formulation for classical field theory generalizing Hamiltonian mechanics (*cf. e.g.* [3], [4], [11] and references therein). Unfortunately the Poisson brackets on functions on a symplectic manifold do not easily generalize to the field-theoretic situation. On the one hand expected identities hold on multiphase spaces only up to "divergence terms" and on the other hand "symplectic structures" on infinite dimensional mapping spaces, the phase spaces of classical field theories, are typically highly delicate to work with (compare *e.g.* [2], [9] and [10] for a mathematical discussion of aspects of these issues).

A crucial insight by Baez-Hoffnung-Rogers and Rogers (*cf.* [1] resp. [14]), extending the cited work of Barnich-Fulp-Lada-Stasheff ([2]) on algebraic structures in classical field theory, is that every n-plectic manifold comes with a Lie n-algebra, a special case of an L_∞-algebra, of observables. This result explains the presence of divergence terms and allows to study classical field theories via finite dimensional geometry with a reasonable analogue of the Poisson bracket on the space of observables. The next important step in the development of multisymplectic geometry is the definition of a homotopy (co-)moment map and the elucidation of its fundamental properties in the preprint [6].

Since moment maps play an immense role in symplectic geometry, one is summoned to ask for its existence and unicity in the multisymplectic situation. In the symplectic case this is, of course, well-studied and easily formulated in cohomological terms (compare *e.g.* [17], pp. 20-21). Our lack of understanding of the cohomological description in Section 4.2 in [3] led us to look for an obstruction theory for homotopy co-moment maps that is at the same time concise and implies the partial results scattered in the literature.

Date: November 5, 2014.

*The first author wants to thank the Gerhard C. Starck Stiftung for financial and moral support.

Let us describe the content of this note in some more detail. The first section recalls the work of Rogers on the observable algebra of an n-plectic manifold M (with the extension to the pre-n-plectic case given by Zambon), whereas the second section defines -following [6]- the notion of a homotopy (co-)moment map for a given infinitesimal n-plectic action $\zeta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(M,\omega)$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite-dimensional Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{X}(M,\omega)$ is the space of vector fields whose flow preserve the n-plectic form ω. Section 3 first sets up the double-complex $\Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^\bullet(M)$ with $(\Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*,\delta)$ the Eilenberg-MacLane complex and $(\Omega^\bullet(M),d)$ the de Rham-complex. We then consider a natural cocycle g of the ensuing total complex, already constructed in [7] for different reasons, and show that for a given action ζ, homotopy co-moment maps are in bijection with potentials of g (Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.5). The last section specializes the preceding result to natural cases as symplectic manifolds and exact multisymplectic manifolds, and recovers existence results for partial homotopy co-moments: universal momentum maps in the sense of [4], covariant (multi)momentum maps in the sense of [3] and [8], and multi-moment maps in the sense of [13].

N.B. The main results of this note make part of the M.Sc. thesis of the first author [15]. The obstruction-theory for existence and unicity of co-moments was independently developed in [5]. The latter reference is a sequel to [6], where a cohomological framework is already announced, and both of these articles are oriented towards geometric structures related to higher categories and equivariant cohomology, whereas our work is more focussed on applications to classical multisymplectic geometry.

1. The observable algebras of n-plectic manifolds

Definition 1.1. Let n be in $\mathbb{N}$. A *pre-n-plectic* manifold (M,ω) is a manifold M equiped with a closed $(n+1)$-form $\omega\in\Omega^{n+1}_{cl}(M)$. A pre-n-plectic manifold (M,ω) is called *n-plectic* or *multisymplectic* if the map $\omega^\flat_p : T_pM\to\Lambda^n T^*_pM$ defined by $\omega^\flat_p(v)=\iota_v\omega_p$ is injective for all $p\in M$. (The symbol ι_v denotes the contraction with v.)

Definition 1.2. Let (M,ω) be a pre-n-plectic manifold. The *space of observables of* (M,ω) is defined as the graded vector space $L(M,\omega)=L=\bigoplus_{i=-n+1}^{0}L_i$, where $L_i:=\Omega^{n-1+i}(M)$ for $i\neq 0$ and

$$L_0:=\left\{(v,\alpha)\in\mathfrak{X}(M)\times\Omega^{n-1}(M)\mid d\alpha=-\omega^\flat(v)\right\}.$$

The image of the projection $\pi_{\mathfrak{X}} : L_0\to\mathfrak{X}(M)$ is called *the space of Hamiltonian vector fields* and denoted by $\mathfrak{X}_{Ham}(M,\omega)$.

Remark 1.3. The vector space L_0 is the pullback fitting into the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_0 & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}} & \mathfrak{X}(M) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_\Omega} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle -\omega^\flat} \\ \Omega^{n-1}(M) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega^n(M) \end{array} \tag{1}$$

When (M,ω) is n-plectic the map $\omega^\flat$ is injective so that L_0 is canonically isomorphic to the subspace $\Omega^{n-1}_{Ham}(M,\omega):=\pi_\Omega(L_0)$ of $\Omega^{n-1}(M)$ called *the space of Hamiltonian forms of* (M,ω). In this case one usually writes $\Omega^{n-1}_{Ham}(M,\omega)$ instead of L_0 for the degree zero component of the space of observables.

Remark 1.4. For $(v,\alpha)\in L_0$ we have $\mathcal{L}_v\omega=d\iota_v\omega+\iota_v d\omega=d(-d\alpha)+0=0$ so that $\mathfrak{X}_{Ham}(M,\omega)$ is a subset of $\mathfrak{X}(M,\omega):=\{v\in\mathfrak{X}(M)\mid\mathcal{L}_v\omega=0\}$.

Unlike in the symplectic (*i.e.* $n = 1$) case the observables of a pre-n-plectic manifold do not form a Lie algebra for $n > 1$. They carry the more general structure of an L_∞-algebra.

Definition 1.5.

(a) An L_∞-*algebra* (or *Lie-*∞*-algebra*) is a graded vector space $L = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} L_i$ together with a family of graded skew-symmetric multilinear maps $\{l_k : \bigtimes^k L \to L \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that l_k has degree $2-k$ and the following identity holds

$$\sum_{i+j=n+1} (-1)^{i(j+1)} \sum_{\sigma\in ush(i,n-i)} sgn(\sigma)\epsilon(\sigma; x_1, ..., x_n)\, l_j(l_i(x_{\sigma(1)}, ..., x_{\sigma(i)}), x_{\sigma(i+1)}..., x_{\sigma(n)}) = 0 \qquad (2)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\epsilon(\sigma; x_1, ..., x_n)$ denotes the Koszul sign of σ acting on the elements $x_1, ..., x_n$ and $ush(i, n-i) \subset S_n$ denotes the space of all $(i, n-i)$-unshuffles.

(b) Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. An L_∞-algebra L is called *Lie m-algebra* if its underlying graded vector space is concentrated in the degrees $\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid -m+1 \le i \le 0\}$.

(c) A Lie m-algebra L is called *grounded,* if $l_k(x_1, ..., x_k)$ is zero whenever $k > 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k |x_i| \neq 0$. (Here and in the sequel $|x|$ denotes the degree of a homogeneous element x of a graded vector space.)

Remark 1.6. As most terms in the multi-bracket equation (2) vanish, a grounded Lie m-algebra can be described as an m-term cochain complex $\left(\bigoplus_{i=-m+1}^{0} L_i\,,\ l_1\right)$ with a family of linear maps $\{l_k : \Lambda^k L_0 \to L_{2-k} \mid 1 < k \le m+1\}$ satisfying $l_k(l_1(x_1), x_2, ..., x_k) = 0$ for all $x_1, .., x_k \in L_0$ and $\partial l_k = l_1 l_{k+1}$ for $2 \le k \le m+1$, where l_{m+2} is to be interpreted as the zero map and $\partial : \Lambda^p(L_0, L_k) \to \Lambda^{p+1}(L_0, L_k)$ is defined as follows, generalizing the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential in Lie algebra cohomology:

$$(\partial f)(x_1, ..., x_{p+1}) = \sum_{1\le i<j\le p+1} (-1)^{i+j} f(l_2(x_i, x_j), x_1, ..., \hat{x}_i, ..., \hat{x}_j, ..., x_{p+1}).$$

The notation $\Lambda^r(L_0, L_i) = Hom(\Lambda^r L_0, L_i)$ stands of course for the vector space of r-multilinear skew-symmetric maps from $\bigtimes^r L_0$ to L_i.

Proposition 1.7. Let (M, ω) be pre-n-plectic. Then $L = L(M, \omega)$ carries the structure of a grounded Lie n-algebra given by the maps $\{l_k \mid 1 \le k \le n+1\}$ defined as follows:

- $l_1(\alpha) := d\alpha$ for $\alpha \in L_{-i}, i > 1$, $l_1(\alpha) = (0, d\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in L_{-1}$ and $l_1((v, \alpha)) = 0$ for $(v, \alpha) \in L_0$
- $l_2((v, \alpha), (w, \beta)) = ([v, w], \iota_w\iota_v\omega)$
- for $k > 2$ we set $l_k((v_1, \alpha_1), ..., (v_k, \alpha_k)) := -(-1)^{k(k+1)/2}\iota_{v_k}...\iota_{v_1}\omega$.

We call $(L(M, \omega), \{l_k\})$ the *Lie n-algebra of observables* of (M, ω).

Proof. A proof can be found in [6] (Theorem 4.7), where the property of "being grounded" is referred to as "having Property P". In the n-plectic case the result follows immediately from [1] for $n = 2$ and from [14] for $n > 2$. □

2. Lie algebras of n-plectic symmetries

In this section we consider infinitesimal actions $\zeta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(M)$ of finite dimensional Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ on pre-n-plectic manifolds (M, ω), preserving the form ω, and define what is a homotopy co-moment for such an action.

Definition 2.1. An infinitesimal action $\zeta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is called *pre-n-plectic* or simply *n-plectic* if the image of ζ is in $\mathfrak{X}(M, \omega)$. The action is called *(weakly) Hamiltonian* if there exists a linear lift $j : \mathfrak{g} \to L = L(M, \omega)$ of ζ along $\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}$. Such a lift is called a *(weak) co-moment map* for the action ζ. The

action is called *strongly Hamiltonian* if there exists an L_∞-morphism $F : \mathfrak{g} \to L$ lifting ζ along $\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}$. In the latter case the morphism F is called a *homotopy co-moment map* for ζ.

Remark 2.2. A strongly Hamiltonionian action is always weakly Hamiltonian. A weakly Hamiltonian action is always n-plectic.

Remark 2.3. If (M, ω) is a pre-symplectic manifold then the above definition reduces to the classical notion of (pre-)symplectic/Hamiltonian/strongly Hamiltonian actions and co-moment maps.

For the convenience of the reader we explicitly recall the notion of L_∞-morphisms:

Definition 2.4. An *L_∞-morphism* from (L, l_i) to (L', l'_i) is a family $\{f_k\}$ of graded skew-symmetric maps of degrees $1-k$ satisfying the following condition for $n \geq 1$:

$$\sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma \in ush(i,n-i)} (-1)^{i(j+1)} sgn(\sigma) \epsilon(\sigma; x_1, ..., x_n) f_j\left(\left(l_i(x_{\sigma(1)}, ..., x_{\sigma(i)})\right), x_{\sigma(i+1)}, ..., x_{\sigma(n)}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{p=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{\sum_{j=1}^{p} k_j = n \\ k_i \leq k_{i+1}}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in ush(k_1,...,k_p) \\ \sigma(\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} k_i+1) < \sigma(\sum_{i=1}^{j} k_i+1) \\ \text{whenever } k_j = k_{j+1}}} (-1)^{\beta} sgn(\sigma) \epsilon(\sigma; x_1, ..., x_n) \times$$
$$l'_p(f_{k_1}(x_{\sigma(1)}, ..., x_{\sigma(k_1)}), f_{k_2}(x_{\sigma(k_1+1)}, ..., x_{\sigma(k_1+k_2)}), ..., f_{k_p}(x_{\sigma(n-k_p+1)}, ..., x_{\sigma(n)})),$$

where β is given by the following formula:

$$\beta = \frac{p(p-1)}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} k_i(p-i) +$$
$$(k_p - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-k_p} |x_{\sigma(i)}| + (k_{p-1} - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-(k_p+k_{p-1})} |x_{\sigma(i)}| + ... + (k_2 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-(k_p+k_{p-1}+...+k_2)} |x_{\sigma(i)}|.$$

Remark 2.5. An L_∞-algebra can equivalently be described as the free symmetric coalgebra of the suspension of the graded vector space L equipped with a coderivation that squares to zero. The above multi-bracket condition for morphisms then corresponds to the preservation of the coderivations. The equivalence of the two approaches is proven in [12].

Proposition 2.6. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra and L a grounded Lie n-algebra. Then an L_∞-morphism from $\mathfrak{g}$ to L is a family of maps $\{f_1, ..., f_n\}$ such that f_k has degree $1-k$ and is satisfying $\delta f_k + l_1 f_{k+1} = -f_1^* l_k$ for $1 < k \leq n$, where f_{n+1} is interpreted as the zero map and $\delta : \Lambda^k(\mathfrak{g}, L) \to \Lambda^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}, L)$ denotes the *Chevalley-Eilenberg differential (with respect to the trivial representation)* defined as follows:

$$(\delta f)(X_1, ..., X_{k+1}) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{i+j} f([X_i, X_j], X_1, ..., \hat{X}_i, ..., \hat{X}_j, ..., X_{k+1}).$$

Proof. The result is easily deduced from Definition 2.4 and its proof is explicitly given in [6], Proposition 3.8. □

3. The existence and unicity of co-moment maps

To analyse the cohomological obstruction to the existence of homotopy co-moment maps, we study the double complex $\Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \Omega^\bullet(M)$ resulting from tensoring the cochain complexes $(\Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*, \delta)$, where δ denotes the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential, with $(\Omega^\bullet(M), d)$. Diagrammatically we consider thus:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\
 & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \delta\otimes id} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \delta\otimes id} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \delta\otimes id} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \delta\otimes id} & \\
\Lambda^3\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^0(M) & \xrightarrow{id\otimes d} & \Lambda^3\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^1(M) & \xrightarrow{id\otimes d} & \Lambda^3\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^2(M) & \xrightarrow{id\otimes d} & \Lambda^3\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^3(M) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle \delta\otimes id} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \delta\otimes id} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \delta\otimes id} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \delta\otimes id} & & \\
\Lambda^2\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^0(M) & \xrightarrow{id\otimes d} & \Lambda^2\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^1(M) & \xrightarrow{id\otimes d} & \Lambda^2\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^2(M) & \xrightarrow{id\otimes d} & \Lambda^2\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^3(M) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle \delta\otimes id} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \delta\otimes id} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \delta\otimes id} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \delta\otimes id} & & \\
\Lambda^1\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^0(M) & \xrightarrow{id\otimes d} & \Lambda^1\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^1(M) & \xrightarrow{id\otimes d} & \Lambda^1\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^2(M) & \xrightarrow{id\otimes d} & \Lambda^1\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^3(M) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle \delta\otimes id} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \delta\otimes id} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \delta\otimes id} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \delta\otimes id} & & \\
\Lambda^0\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^0(M) & \xrightarrow{id\otimes d} & \Lambda^0\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^1(M) & \xrightarrow{id\otimes d} & \Lambda^0\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^2(M) & \xrightarrow{id\otimes d} & \Lambda^0\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^3(M) & \longrightarrow & \dots
\end{array}$$

We turn $\Lambda^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^\bullet(M)$ into a singly graded cochain complex $(C^\bullet, D)$ by defining the total degree of an element as the sum of the individual degrees, *i.e.* we set $C^k = \bigoplus_{i+j=k}\Lambda^i\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^j(M)$. To assure that $D^2 = 0$ we have to alter the sign of one of the differentials. We stick to the following convention:

$$D|_{\Lambda^i\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^j(M)} = (\delta\otimes id) + (-1)^n(-1)^{i+j}(id\otimes d).$$

As usually, we often abusively write $\delta(\alpha_i\otimes\eta_j)$ for $\delta\alpha_i\otimes\eta_j$ and $d(\alpha_i\otimes\eta_j)$ for $\alpha_i\otimes d\eta_j$ in the sequel.

We will now analyse an action $\zeta : \mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{X}(M,\omega)$ in terms of this complex. We define the maps $g_k\in\Lambda^k(\mathfrak{g},\Omega^{n+1-k}(M)) = \Lambda^k\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^{n+1-k}(M)$ for $1\le k\le n+1$ by

$$g_k(X_1,...,X_k) := -(-1)^{k(k+1)/2}\iota_{\zeta(X_k)}...\iota_{\zeta(X_1)}\omega.$$

Lemma 3.1. The sum of the above defined classes $g = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_i$ is a D-cocycle *i.e.* $D(g) = 0$.

Proof. Obviously $D(g) = 0$ is equivalent to $\delta g_k = dg_{k+1}$ for $0\le k\le n+1$, where g_0 and g_{n+2} are interpreted as the zero maps. The latter equalities follow easily from $\mathcal{L}_{\zeta(X)}\omega = 0$ for all $X\in\mathfrak{g}$ (cf. Lemma 9.3. of [6]). □

Remark 3.2. Up to signs the cocycle g corresponds to the Cartan cocycle $\hat{\omega}$ constructed for different purposes in Chapter 2, §4 of [7].

Lemma 3.3. There is a one-to-one correspondance between homotopy co-moment maps and D-potentials $p = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i\in C^n$ with $p_i\in\Lambda^i\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^{n-i}(M)$ of g.

Remark 3.4. We do not have a p_0 term as $\delta|_{\Lambda^0\mathfrak{g}^*} = 0$ and dp_0 would be in $\Lambda^0\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^{n+1}(M)$. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ has no component in this bidegree, there is no need for a p_0.

Proof. of Lemma 3.3. Let $F = \{f_k \mid 1\le k\le n\}$ be a homotopy co-moment map. Then setting $p_1 := -\pi_\Omega\circ f_1$ and $p_k := -f_k$ for $k\ge 2$ we claim that $p = \sum p_k$ is a potential for g. As only two components of $D(p)$ have non-vanishing projections on $\Lambda^k(\mathfrak{g},\Omega^{n+1-k}(M))$ it suffices to show that $\delta p_k + dp_{k+1} = g_{k+1}$. Since the L_∞-morphism F lifts ζ along $\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}$ we have $\pi_{\mathfrak{X}} f_1(X) = \zeta(X)$, which in turn implies $df_1(X) = -\iota_{\zeta(X)}\omega$ i.e $dp_1 = g_1$. Further we observe that $g_{k+1}(X_1,...,X_{k+1}) = f_1^* l_{k+1}(X_1,...,X_{k+1})$, which directly implies $\delta p_k + dp_{k+1} = g_{k+1}$ for $k>0$.

Let now $p = p_1 + ... + p_n$ with $p_k\in\Lambda^k\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^{n-k}(M)$ be a potential of g. We define $f_k := -p_k$

for $k > 1$ and $f_1(X) = (-p_1(X), \zeta(X))$. As $-d\pi_\Omega f_1(X) = dp_1(X) = g_1(X) = \iota_{\zeta(X)}\omega$ the map f_1 is well-defined and we can express g_k by $f_1^* l_k$ for $k > 1$. The higher identities directly follow from $\delta p_k + dp_{k+1} = g_{k+1} = f_1^* l_{k+1}$. □

The following theorem clarifies the existence and unicity question for homotopy co-moment maps.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\zeta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(M, \omega)$ be an infinitesimal n-plectic action. The action is strongly Hamiltonian if and only if the above-defined cocycle g vanishes in the cohomology of $C^\bullet$, *i.e.* $[g] = 0$ as an element of $H^{n+1}(C^\bullet)$. If a homotopy co-moment map exists it is unique up to D-cocycles of total degree n with vanishing $\Lambda^0\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \Omega^n(M)$-component.

Proof. The statement of the theorem follows directly from Lemma 3.3. □

The element g_1 satisfies $dg_1 = 0$. Thus it is a d-cocycle and we can regard the cohomology class $[g_1]_{dR} \in \Lambda^1\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes H^n_{dR}(M) = Hom(\mathfrak{g}, H^n_{dR}(M))$. If this element is zero there exists a potential $(-j)$ in $Hom(\mathfrak{g}, \Omega^{n-1}(M))$. As $d(j(X)) = -g_1(X) = -\iota_{\zeta(X)}\omega$ we can conclude that j is a linear lift of ζ along $\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}$. This leads us to

Corollary 3.6. Let $\zeta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(M, \omega)$ be an infinitesimal pre-n-plectic action. The action is weakly Hamiltonian if and only if $[g_1]_{dR} = 0$ in $\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes H^n_{dR}(M)$.

This corollary can be also interpreted in terms of the following exact sequence of L_∞-algebras:

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-2} \Omega^i(M) \oplus \Omega^{n-1}_{cl}(M) \longrightarrow L(M,\omega) \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathfrak{X}} \circ \pi_{L_0}} \mathfrak{X}(M,\omega) \xrightarrow[v \mapsto [\iota_v \omega]]{\gamma} H^n_{dR}(M) \qquad (3)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathfrak{X}(M,\omega) \\ & \nearrow^{j} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \zeta} \\ L(M,\omega) & & \mathfrak{g} \end{array}$$

where $\Omega^{n-1}_{cl}(M)$ denotes the space of closed $(n-1)$-forms on M, the only nontrivial bracket of the leftmost space is the unary bracket d and the rightmost term is to be interpreted as an abelian Lie algebra. The map ζ can be lifted to a linear map j if and only if $([g_1]_{dR} =)\ \gamma\zeta = 0$.

By the Kuenneth theorem (cf., eg., [16], Thm 3.6.3) the total cohomology group $H^{n+1}(C^\bullet)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum $\bigoplus_{i+j=n+1} H^i(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes H^j_{dR}(M)$. Thus $[g]$ can be decomposed into classes $h_i \in H^i(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes H^{n+1-i}_{dR}(M)$ beyond $h_0 = 0$. These classes correspond to the g_i, but in a slightly subtle way, as the latter are in general neither δ-closed nor d-closed. In order to interpret the g_i as cocycles representing the h_i both equivalence relations (de Rham and Chevalley-Eilenberg) have to be divided out at the same time, as $dg_i \in Im(\delta)$ and $\delta g_i \in Im(d)$.

In order to formalize this, we introduce the quotient maps $q_\Lambda : (\Lambda^k\mathfrak{g}^*) \to \frac{\Lambda^k\mathfrak{g}^*}{(\Lambda^k\mathfrak{g}^*)_{ex}}$ and $q_\Omega : \Omega^l(M) \to \frac{\Omega^l(M)}{\Omega^l_{ex}(M)}$, where for a cochain complex N we denote by $N^k_{ex} \subset N^k$ the subspace of exact elements. As δ resp. d are zero on $(\Lambda^k\mathfrak{g}^*)_{ex}$ resp. $\Omega^l_{ex}(M)$ they induce maps $\bar{\delta}$ resp. $\bar{d}$ rendering the following sequences exact:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^k(\mathfrak{g}) = \frac{(\Lambda^k\mathfrak{g}^*)_{cl}}{(\Lambda^k\mathfrak{g}^*)_{ex}} \xrightarrow{\subset} \frac{(\Lambda^k\mathfrak{g}^*)}{(\Lambda^k\mathfrak{g}^*)_{ex}} \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}} (\Lambda^{k+1}\mathfrak{g}^*)_{ex} \longrightarrow 0$$

resp.

$$0 \longrightarrow H^l_{dR}(M) = \frac{\Omega^l_{cl}(M)}{\Omega^l_{ex}(M)} \xrightarrow{\subset} \frac{\Omega^l(M)}{\Omega^l_{ex}(M)} \xrightarrow{\bar{d}} \Omega^{l+1}_{ex}(M) \longrightarrow 0\ ,$$

where $\subset$ denotes here the canonical inclusion maps. By the exactness of the preceeding two diagrams an element $a \in \frac{(\Lambda^k\mathfrak{g}^*)}{(\Lambda^k\mathfrak{g}^*)_{ex}} \otimes \frac{\Omega^l(M)}{\Omega^l_{ex}(M)}$ satisfying $(\bar{\delta} \otimes id)a = 0$ and $(id \otimes \bar{d})a = 0$ already fulfills $a \in H^k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes H^l_{dR}(M)$. For $k \geq 2$, $(q_\Lambda \otimes q_\Omega)(g_k)$ satisfies these equations and consequently we can regard $(q_\Lambda \otimes q_\Omega)(g_k) = h_k$ as an element of $H^k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes H^{n+1-k}_{dR}(M)$.

4. Applications and special cases

4.1. **Co-moment maps in symplectic geometry.** When ω is a 2-form we recover the following classical results:

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold and $\zeta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(M, \omega)$ an infinitesimal symplectic group action. The action is weakly Hamiltonian (*i.e.* there exists a linear lift $j : \mathfrak{g} \to C^\infty(M)$ of ζ along $v_\bullet = -(\omega^\flat)^{-1}(d\bullet) : C^\infty(M) \to \mathfrak{X}(M, \omega)$) if and only if $h_1 = (q_\Lambda \otimes q_\Omega)(g_1)$ vanishes, *i.e.* if the image of ζ is a subset of the kernel of $\gamma = [\iota_\bullet\omega]_{dR} : \mathfrak{X}(M, \omega) \to H^1_{dR}(M)$. Moreover, as $\omega^\flat$ is invertible, γ is surjective and in this case Diagram (3) can be extended to the following exact sequence of Lie algebras:

$$0 \longrightarrow C^\infty_{cl}(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M) \xrightarrow{v_\bullet} \mathfrak{X}(M, \omega) \xrightarrow[x \mapsto [\iota_x\omega]]{\gamma} H^1_{dR}(M) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathfrak{X}(M,\omega) \\ & \overset{j}{\swarrow} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \zeta} \\ & & \mathfrak{g} \end{array}$$

Furthermore, if $h_1 = 0$ and j is a map as above, the class h_2 can be represented by the cocycle $\bar{j} = ((X, Y) \mapsto \iota_{\zeta(Y)}\iota_{\zeta(X)}\omega - j([X, Y])) \in (\Lambda^2\mathfrak{g}^*)_{cl} \otimes C^\infty_{cl}(M)$. Hence $[\bar{j}]_{CE} = h_2 \in H^2(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes H^0_{dR}(M)$ is the obstruction for the weakly Hamiltonian action defined by ζ and j to be strongly Hamiltonian.

4.2. **Exact multisymplectic manifolds.**

Lemma 4.1. Let $\zeta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(M, \omega)$ be an infinitesimal pre-n-plectic action. If ω has a $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant potential η then the action is strongly Hamiltonian and the L_∞-morphism takes the form $f_1(X) = (\zeta(X), \iota_{\zeta(X)}\eta)$ and for $k \geq 2$, $f_k(X_1, ..., X_k) = (-1)^k(-1)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}\iota_{\zeta(X_k)}...\iota_{\zeta(X_1)}\eta$.

Proof. A proof is provided in [6] (Lemma 8.1 and Theorem 6.3) or can easily be verified upon using the fact, that the $\{f_k\}$ are constructed from η in the same way, as the $\{g_k\}$ are constructed from ω. □

In Section 4 of [4], $\mathfrak{X}_{EH}(M)$ is defined as the space of vector fields v on an exact n-plectic manifold (M, ω) such that $\mathcal{L}_v\eta = 0$. The map $J : \mathfrak{X}_{EH}(M) \to \Omega^{n-1}_{Ham}(M, \omega)$ defined by $J(v) = \iota_v\eta$ is then called the "universal momentum map". Obviously, we have $\pi_\Omega \circ f_1 = J \circ \zeta : \mathfrak{g} \to \Omega^{n-1}_{Ham}(M, \omega)$ in the notations of Lemma 4.1, and in the n-plectic case f_1 is, of course, already determined by $\pi_\Omega \circ f_1$.

4.3. **Simple Lie groups.** Let G be a connected simple Lie group with Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ its (invariant, non-degenerate) Killing-form. Setting $\omega_e(X, Y, Z) = \langle X, [Y, Z]\rangle$ we get a three-form ω_e on $\mathfrak{g}$. Using the non-degeneracy of the Killing-form and $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$ one shows that ω_e is non-degenerate. It extends to to a bi-invariant form $\omega \in \Omega^3(G)$, that is closed and non-degenerate by construction and thus defines a 2-plectic structure on G.

The group G acts on itself from the left via $(g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x$. The corresponding infinitesimal action ζ extends an $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ to a right-invariant vector field $\zeta(X)$ on G. We recall that $H^1(\mathfrak{g}) = H^2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ and $[\omega_e]_{CE} = [\langle\cdot, [\cdot, \cdot]\rangle]_{CE} \neq 0$ in $H^3(\mathfrak{g})$, since $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple. By the Kuenneth theorem $[g] \in H^3(C^\bullet)$ can be

decomposed into $h_1 \in H^1(\mathfrak{g})\otimes H^2(G)$, $h_2 \in H^2(\mathfrak{g})\otimes H^1(G)$ and $h_3 \in H^3(\mathfrak{g})\otimes H^0(G) = H^3(\mathfrak{g})$. As $H^1(\mathfrak{g})$ and $H^2(\mathfrak{g})$ vanish, we have $h_1 = h_2 = 0$. As $[g_1]_{dR} = h_1 = 0$ Corollary 3.6 implies that ζ is weakly Hamiltonian. Let us now consider $h_3 = [g_3]_{CE} \in H^3(\mathfrak{g})$. We have $g_3(X,Y,Z) = \omega(\zeta(X),\zeta(Y),\zeta(Z)) = \omega_e(X,Y,Z)$. Thus $[g_3]_{CE} = [\omega_e]_{CE} \neq 0 \in H^3(\mathfrak{g})$ and ζ is not strongly Hamiltonian.

4.4. **Vanishing cohomology groups.** In [6] a class $[c_p] \in H^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g})$ is constructed for any point $p \in M$ of a pre-n-plectic manifold (M,ω) with a weakly Hamiltonian action ζ and a weak co-moment map j by the formula $c_p(X_1,...,X_{n+1}) := -(-1)^n(-1)^{n(n+1)/2}\omega(\zeta(X_1),...,\zeta(X_{n+1}))|_p$. This class is equal to

$$(-1)^n i^* h_{n+1} \in H^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g})\otimes H^0_{dR}(\{p\}) = H^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}),$$

where $i^* : H^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g})\otimes H^0_{dR}(M) \to H^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g})\otimes H^0_{dR}(\{p\})$ is induced by the inclusion $i : \{p\} \to M$. If M is connected i^* is an isomorphism and we obtain Theorem 9.7 of [6] as a corollary of Theorem 3.5:

Corollary 4.2. Let (M,ω) pe a connected pre-n-plectic manifold and $(\mathfrak{g},\zeta,j)$ a weakly Hamiltonian action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on M. If $H^k_{dR}(M)$ vanish for $k \in \{1,...,n-1\}$ and $[c_p] = 0$ for any $p \in M$, then there exists a homotopy co-moment map for $\zeta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(M,\omega)$.

4.5. **Covariant momentum maps.** Given an n-plectic manifold (M,ω) and an infinitesimal n-plectic action $\zeta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(M,\omega)$, a smooth section $J : M \to \Lambda^{n-1}T^*M \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*$ is called a "covariant momentum map (or multimomentum map)" in Section 4 C of [8], if $d(\langle J, X\rangle) = \iota_{\zeta(X)}\omega$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. We thus find that J corresponds to $f_1 : \mathfrak{g} \to \Omega^{n-1}_{Ham}(M,\omega)$, since $(\pi_\Omega \circ f_1(X))(p) = \langle J, X\rangle(p) = \langle J(p), X\rangle$ $\forall X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\forall p \in M$ and $\pi_\Omega \circ f_1$ determines f_1 in the n-plectic case. If, furthermore, ω has an invariant potential η, it is noted in [8] that $J(X) = \iota_{\zeta(X)}\eta$ is a covariant momentum map called "special". This corresponds to the first component of the L_∞-morphism explicitly given in Lemma 4.1.

4.6. **Covariant multimomentum maps.** Let (M,ω) be a pre-n-plectic manifold. We consider the following short exact sequence of Lie n-algebras:

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-2}\Omega^i(M)\oplus\Omega^{n-1}_{cl}(M) \longrightarrow L(M,\omega) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{Ham}(M,\omega) \longrightarrow 0$$

and mod out the following exact subsequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-2}\Omega^i(M)\oplus\Omega^{n-1}_{ex}(M) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-2}\Omega^i(M)\oplus\left(\Omega^{n-1}_{ex}(M)\oplus ker(\iota_\bullet\omega)\right) \longrightarrow ker(\iota_\bullet\omega) \longrightarrow 0$$

to obtain the following exact sequence of Lie algebras:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{n-1}_{dR}(M) \longrightarrow \frac{L_0}{\Omega^{n-1}_{ex}(M)\oplus ker(\iota_\bullet\omega)} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathfrak{X}_{Ham}(M,\omega)}{ker(\iota_\bullet\omega)} \longrightarrow 0\ .$$

Here the notation $ker(\iota_\bullet\omega)$ is also used for $\{(0,v)\,|\,\iota_v\omega = 0\} \subset L_0$, isomorphic to $ker(\iota_\bullet\omega) \subset \mathfrak{X}_{Ham}(M,\omega)$ via $\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}$. Note that this subspace has trivial intersection with $\{(0,\alpha)\,|\,d\alpha = 0\} \subset L_0$, isomorphic to the space of closed forms $\Omega^{n-1}_{cl}(M)$. Notably $ker(\iota_\bullet\omega)\cap\Omega^{n-1}_{ex}(M) = \{0\}$ inside L_0.

Let $\zeta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}_{Ham}(M,\omega) = ker(\gamma) \subset \mathfrak{X}(M,\omega)$ be an infinitesimal n-plectic action. Since the sequence is exact, there always exists a linear lift $\bar{j}$ of $\bar{\zeta} = \pi\circ\zeta$ along $\frac{L_0}{\Omega^{n-1}_{ex}(M)\oplus ker(\iota_\bullet\omega)} \to \frac{\mathfrak{X}_{Ham}(M,\omega)}{ker(\iota_\bullet\omega)}$, where $\pi : \mathfrak{X}_{Ham}(M,\omega) \to \frac{\mathfrak{X}_{Ham}(M,\omega)}{ker(\iota_\bullet\omega)}$ is the projection. Putting this together, we get the following diagram,

where the top row is exact and all morphisms (except possibly $\bar{j}$) are Lie algebra morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^{n-1}_{dR}(M) & \longrightarrow & \frac{L_0}{\Omega^{n-1}_{ex}(M)\oplus ker(\iota_\bullet\omega)} & \longrightarrow & \frac{\mathfrak{X}_{Ham}(M,\omega)}{ker(\iota_\bullet\omega)} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & & \nwarrow^{\bar{j}} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \bar{\zeta}} & & \\ & & & & & & \mathfrak{g} & & \end{array}$$

which corresponds to the diagram on page 19 of [3]. The dual of such a map $\bar{j}$ is then a *covariant multimomentum map* in the sense of Cariñena, Crampin and Ibort. Writing l_2 for the Lie bracket on $\frac{L_0}{\Omega^{n-1}_{ex}(M)\oplus ker(\iota_\bullet\omega)}$ as in [3] one defines the cocycle $\bar{c} = ((X,Y) \mapsto \bar{l_2}(\bar{j}(X),\bar{j}(Y)) - \bar{j}([X,Y])) \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}, H^{n-1}_{dR}(M)) = \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)\otimes H^{n-1}_{dR}(M)$. The cohomology class $[\bar{c}]_{CE} \in H^2(\mathfrak{g})\otimes H^{n-1}_{dR}(M)$ of this cocycle is the obstruction against a Lie algebra homomorphism f lifting $\bar{\zeta}$. We claim that $[\bar{c}]_{CE} = h_2$. To show this we put $c := j^*l_2 + \delta j = ((X,Y) \mapsto l_2(j(X), j(Y)) - j([X,Y]))$, where $j : \mathfrak{g} \to \Omega^{n-1}_{Ham}(M,\omega)$ is a lift of $\bar{j}$. Using the fact that $j^*l_2 = g_2$ we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}[\bar{c}]_{CE} = [(id\otimes q_\Omega)(c)]_{CE} &= (q_\Lambda\otimes id)(id\otimes q_\Omega)(c) = (id\otimes q_\Omega)(q_\Lambda\otimes id)(j^*l_2 + \delta j) \\ &= (id\otimes q_\Omega)(q_\Lambda\otimes id)(j^*l_2) = (q_\Lambda\otimes q_\Omega)(j^*l_2) = (q_\Lambda\otimes q_\Omega)(g_2) = h_2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved the following result of [3].

Corollary 4.3. Let $\zeta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(M,\omega)$ be a weakly Hamiltonian infinitesimal action. Then there exists a Lie algebra homomorphism $f : \mathfrak{g} \to \frac{L_0}{\Omega^{n-1}_{ex}(M)\oplus ker(\iota_\bullet\omega)}$ which is the dual of a covariant multimomentum map if and only if the second obstruction class, h_2, vanishes.

4.7. **Multi-moment maps.** Upon restricting to the top component of a homotopy co-moment map, one obtains the following notion of Madsen and Swann ([13]).

Let M,ω be an n-plectic manifold. A *multi-moment map* is a map $\nu : M \to P^*_\mathfrak{g}$, where $P_\mathfrak{g} = P_{\mathfrak{g},n} \subset \Lambda^n\mathfrak{g}$ is the kernel of $\delta^* : \Lambda^n\mathfrak{g} \to \Lambda^{n-1}\mathfrak{g}$, satisfying certain conditions. It can be equivalently understood as a map $\overline{\nu} : P_\mathfrak{g} \to C^\infty(M)$ fulfilling

(i) $d(\overline{\nu}(p)) = \iota_{\zeta(p)}\omega$ for all $p \in P_\mathfrak{g}$
(ii) $\overline{\nu}(ad_X(p)) = \mathcal{L}_{\zeta(X)}(\overline{\nu}(p))$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $p \in P_\mathfrak{g}$.

Here we define for $X, X^\alpha_j \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $p = \sum_\alpha X^\alpha_1 \wedge ... \wedge X^\alpha_n \in \Lambda^n\mathfrak{g}$,

$$\iota_{\zeta(p)}\omega := \sum_\alpha \iota_{\zeta(X^\alpha_n)}...\iota_{\zeta(X^\alpha_1)}\omega \text{ and } ad_X(p) := (\Lambda^n(ad_X))(p)\,.$$

Given a strong co-moment $\{f_1,...,f_n\}$, it is easy to check that $\overline{\nu} := -(-1)^{n(n+1)/2} f_n|_{P_\mathfrak{g}}$ fulfills the two preceeding conditions. In fact, one only needs the conditions $\delta f_n = -g_{n+1}$ and $\delta f_{n-1} + d f_n = -g_n$ here. Hence, for a multi-moment map in the above sense to exist we need not require $h_i = 0$ for all i, but only $h_{n+1} = 0$ and $h_n = 0$ suffice. Let us assume $h_{n+1} = 0$ and $h_n = 0$ and construct f_n and f_{n-1} "by hand". If

$$0 = h_{n+1} = (q_\Lambda\otimes q_\Omega)(g_{n+1}) \in H^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g})\otimes H^0_{dR}(M) = H^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g})\otimes C^\infty_{cl}(M),$$

there exists a δ-potential $\hat{f}_n \in \Lambda^{n+1}\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes C^\infty_{cl}(M)$ of $-g_{n+1}$. It holds that

$$\begin{aligned}(\bar{\delta}\otimes id)(q_\Lambda\otimes id)(-g_n - d\hat{f}_n) &= (-\delta g_n - \delta d\hat{f}_n) \\ &= (-dg_{n+1} - d\delta\hat{f}_n) = (-dg_{n+1} + dg_{n+1}) = 0 \in \Lambda^{n+1}\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\Omega^1(M).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(q_\Lambda \otimes id)(-g_n - d\hat{f}_n)$ is an element of $H^n(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Omega^1(M) \subset \frac{\Lambda^n \mathfrak{g}^*}{(\Lambda^n \mathfrak{g}^*)_{ex}} \otimes \Omega^1(M)$. Next we observe that

$$(id \otimes \bar{d})(q_\Lambda \otimes id)(-g_n - d\hat{f}_n) = (q_\Lambda \otimes id)(-dg_n) = (q_\Lambda \otimes id)(-\delta g_{n-1}) = 0 \in H^n(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Omega^2(M).$$

Consequently $(q_\Lambda \otimes id)(-g_n - d\hat{f}_n)$ is an element of $H^n(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Omega^1_{cl}(M)$. By the exactness of the sequence

$$H^n(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C^\infty(M) \xrightarrow{id \otimes d} H^n(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Omega^1_{cl}(M) \xrightarrow{id \otimes q_\Omega} H^n(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes H^1_{dR}(M)$$

and $(id \otimes q_\Omega)(q_\Lambda \otimes id)(-g_n - d\hat{f}_n) = (q_\Lambda \otimes q_\Omega)(-g_n) = -h_n = 0$ the element $(q_\Lambda \otimes id)(-g_n - d\hat{f}_n)$ has a d-potential in $H^n(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C^\infty(M)$. Let $p \in (\Lambda^n \mathfrak{g}^*)_{cl} \otimes C^\infty(M)$ be a δ-representative of such a potential. We set $f_n := \hat{f}_n + p$. As p is δ-closed, we have $\delta f_n = \delta \hat{f}_n = -g_{n+1}$. It follows

$$\begin{aligned}(q_\Lambda \otimes id)(-g_n - d f_n) &= (q_\Lambda \otimes id)(-g_n - d\hat{f}_n) - (q_\Lambda \otimes id)(id \otimes d)p \\ &= (q_\Lambda \otimes id)(-g_n - d\hat{f}_n) - (q_\Lambda \otimes id)(-g_n - d\hat{f}_n) = 0 \in H^n(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \Omega^1_{cl}(M),\end{aligned}$$

implying that there exists a δ-potential $\hat{f}_{n-1}$ of $(-g_n - d f_n)$. Putting $f_{n-1} := \hat{f}_{n-1}$ we have found two maps f_n and f_{n-1} satisfying $\delta f_n = -g_{n+1}$ and $\delta f_{n-1} + d f_n = -g_n$ and hence proven:

Lemma 4.4. Let (M, ω) be an n-plectic manifold. If $h_{n+1} = 0$ and $h_n = 0$, then there exists a multi-moment map in the sense of Madsen and Swann.

Remark 4.5. If further classes h_i are zero, we can continue the above procedure instead of setting $f_{n-1} = \hat{f}_{n-1}$ and construct further components of the co-moment map. If all h_i are zero this gives an iterative procedure to construct a homotopy co-moment map.

A direct consequence of Lemma 4.4 is the existence statement of Theorem 3.14 of [13].

Corollary 4.6. Let (M, ω) be n-plectic. If $H^n(\mathfrak{g})$ and $H^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g})$ are zero then there exists a multi-moment map.

References

[1] John C. Baez, Alexander E. Hoffnung, and Christopher L. Rogers. Categorified symplectic geometry and the classical string. Comm. Math. Phys., 293(3):701–725, 2010.

[2] G. Barnich, R. Fulp, T. Lada, and J. Stasheff. The sh Lie structure of Poisson brackets in field theory. Comm. Math. Phys., 191(3):585–601, 1998.

[3] José F. Cariñena, Mike Crampin, and Luis A. Ibort. On the multisymplectic formalism for first order field theories. Differential Geom. Appl., 1(4):345–374, 1991.

[4] Michael Forger, Cornelius Paufler, and Hartmann Römer. The Poisson bracket for Poisson forms in multisymplectic field theory. Rev. Math. Phys., 15(7):705–743, 2003.

[5] Yael Fregier, Camille Laurent-Gengoux, and Marco Zambon. A cohomological framework for homotopy moment maps. Available at arXiv:1409.3142, 2014.

[6] Yael Fregier, Christopher L. Rogers, and Marco Zambon. Homotopy moment maps. Available at arXiv:1304.2051, 2013.

[7] Victor Ginzburg and Vadim Schechtman. Screenings and a universal Lie-de Rham cocycle. In Kirillov's seminar on representation theory, volume 181 of Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, pages 1–34. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[8] Mark J. Gotay, James Isenberg, and Jerrold E. Marsden. Momentum maps and classical relativistic fields. Part I: Covariant field theory. Available at arXiv:physics/9801019, 1998.

[9] Mark J. Gotay, James Isenberg, and Jerrold E. Marsden. Momentum maps and classical relativistic fields. Part II: Canonical analysis of field theories. Available at arXiv:math-ph/0411.032, 2004.

[10] Frédéric Hélein. Multisymplectic formalism and the covariant phase space. In Variational problems in differential geometry, volume 394 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., pages 94–126. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2012.

[11] Frédéric Hélein and Joseph Kouneiher. Covariant Hamiltonian formalism for the calculus of variations with several variables: Lepage-Dedecker versus De Donder-Weyl. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., 8(3):565–601, 2004.
[12] Tom Lada and Jim Stasheff. Introduction to SH Lie algebras for physicists. Internat. J. Theoret. Phys., 32(7):1087–1103, 1993.
[13] Thomas B. Madsen and Andrew Swann. Closed forms and multi-moment maps. Geom. Dedicata, 165:25–52, 2013.
[14] Christopher L. Rogers. L_∞-algebras from multisymplectic geometry. Lett. Math. Phys., 100(1):29–50, 2012.
[15] Leonid Ryvkin. Observables and symmetries of n-plectic manifolds. Master's thesis, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Bochum (Germany), September 03, 2014.
[16] Charles A. Weibel. An introduction to homological algebra, volume 38 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
[17] Alan Weinstein. Lectures on symplectic manifolds. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977. Expository lectures from the CBMS Regional Conference held at the University of North Carolina, March 8–12, 1976, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, No. 29.

Fakultät für Mathematik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, 44780 Bochum, Germany
E-mail address: leonid.ryvkin@rub.de

Institut Élie Cartan, Université de Lorraine et C.N.R.S., 57045 Metz, France
Current address: Fakultät für Mathematik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, 44780 Bochum, Germany
E-mail address: tilmann.wurzbacher@rub.de